

Rating Methodology - Mobile Service Providers

[In supersession of “Rating Methodology - Mobile Service Providers” issued in [October 2019](#)]

Driven by various technology changes, evolving competitive landscape and key policy initiatives, the Indian telecom sector witnessed a complete transformation over the past decade. The Indian telecom industry continues to be the fastest growing in the world and is currently the second-largest globally, after China, in terms of subscriber base which was around 1.16 billion as of July 31, 2020. Furthermore, the data-centric tariff plans have propelled India to the top position in terms of mobile data consumption which was around 12.1 GB per smartphone per month for the quarter ended June 2020.

Although low tariffs, as a result of intense competition among the service providers has benefitted the consumers, it has also severely impacted the balance sheets of the service providers. Intense competition within the sector, subdued Average Revenue Per User (ARPU) on account of the price war among the players, regulatory challenges, high level of unsustainable debt and increased losses have together caused significant negative business impact on the industry.

CARE Ratings assesses the credit worthiness of the Mobile Service Providers on the basis of an analysis of various quantitative financial and operational parameters as well as qualitative factor assessments. The qualitative factors include an analysis of the operating environment/industry and company-specific parameters which include an analysis of its operating efficiency as well as its competitiveness as compared to other players in the industry.

While rating Mobile Service Providers, CARE Ratings makes a critical assessment of the following parameters:-

Operating Environment

CARE Ratings analyses the features of the industry to understand the risk factors affecting all the service providers within the industry and then focuses on the explicit factors affecting the operator which helps in ascertaining the relative position of the operator in the given industry.

The key factors that CARE Ratings considers while evaluating the industry are as follows:

- **Structure of the industry**

An evaluation of the industry structure includes an analysis of the number of players present within the industry, its stability, entry barriers and level of competition. The key characteristics of the Indian mobile service providers sector include high growth rates of data consumption, large subscriber base, low ARPU & Average Revenue Per Minute (ARPM) and

high level of competition. The sector has now consolidated with 3 large players along with government-owned entities remaining in the sector.

The sector, however, continues to require large investments in network deployment and rollout of services and these projects typically have a long gestation period. CARE analyses the ability of the operators to invest in new technologies and complete their investments and capex plan in a timely manner, their capacity to absorb the significant customer acquisition cost as well as the financial flexibility to fund initial losses and any unanticipated delays.

- **Regulatory Framework**

Regulatory actions determine the area of service, type and nature of service, number of competitors, tariff plans and also the levies on the operators. Although regulatory uncertainties have been brought down substantially through an interactive mechanism, regulatory risk continues to be of paramount importance. Any new regulation can bring about a major change in the competitive environment resulting in major uncertainty with respect to the operations of a company. The presence of an independent regulator in the form of Telecom Regulatory Authority of India (TRAI) and the periodic introduction of a number of regulatory measures have ensured that the sector continues to remain tightly regulated.

Company-specific/Business Parameters

- **Market Position**

CARE Ratings considers market position to be an important operating factor in the analysis of mobile service providers. The relative position and strength of a service provider in the segment within which it operates is considered integral to the sustainability of its operations along with its ability to counter competitive pressures exerted by other service providers. The market position of a company is measured in terms of revenue share, performance track record and incremental subscriber additions per month. Given the huge outlay required owing to the capital-intensive nature of the industry and the extensive marketing costs involved, having a widespread presence and large scale of operations is important as the scale and size determines an operator's ability to distribute fixed costs over a larger base. A larger market share also ensures greater network efficiency, better utilization of assets and scale advantages in marketing and distribution costs.

CARE analyses the relative position of an operator within the circles where it operates using trend analysis and peer group analysis of subscriber penetration levels, high-value subscribers and churn rates. CARE also analyses the potential for growth offered by the service areas

within which the service provider operates and the strategic initiatives undertaken by the service provider to enhance its customer value proposition.

- **Technology Risk**

With the rapid technological developments in the telecom sector, the operators face the risk of technology obsolescence. 4G services, which were a major differentiator just few years back, when they were launched, are now offered by all three major players in almost all their service areas. However, with government now planning spectrum auctions for 5G services in the near future, the operators will have to be ready for transitioning to the new technologies. As telecom is a technology-dependent sector, operators have to continuously invest in technology upgradation and the companies who have access to superior technology can offer high-quality services at fairly reasonable prices. Thus, even though evolving technology offers new opportunities in terms of revenue diversification, it is important for operators to have the requisite financial flexibility to upgrade their network to match the technological advances. With the intense competition prevalent within the telecom sector, the key differentiator would be the ability of an operator to offer a diversified portfolio of innovative products and services which require superior technological platforms. As technological upgradations require considerable financial investment, CARE Ratings analyses an operator's financial ability to be able to make periodic investments for technological upgrade and also analyses the risks associated with the prospective returns from the technological platforms chosen by the operator.

- **Geographical Diversification/Consumer Mix**

An operator with a diversified reach and strong presence in a large number of service areas would be at an advantage as compared to the operators with presence in a limited number of service areas. Diversification not only ensures that the operator is not over dependent on a limited number of service areas and particular set of customers for its revenues but also allows operators considerable bargaining power with equipment and handset vendors/manufacturers. Mobile service providers continue to provide various lucrative offers in partnerships with handset manufacturers. Furthermore, consumer mix in terms of the number of prepaid and postpaid consumers is also a critical parameter considered in the assessment of an operators' performance as this gives an indication of the operators' usage levels and helps in the estimation of ARPU. Since prepaid ARPUs are lower as compared to postpaid due to lower levels of usage along with higher churn rates for prepaid, a higher proportion of postpaid subscribers in the total subscriber base of operator is considered to

be an advantage. Thus, CARE Ratings assesses an operator's overall footprint in terms of the service areas covered combined with its ability to attract new subscribers and maintain its ARPU levels.

- **Brand Image/Network Coverage & Quality**

The sector is highly competitive with the service providers vying for a share of the market. In such a scenario, any reduction in tariffs by one operator is matched by its competitors to sustain their market share. The Mobile Number Portability policy protects consumers and ensures quality of service amid industry consolidation. Since tariffs are market driven, it is important for an operator to carve out a niche for itself through better network coverage and quality and through provision of innovative services which will provide an operator a differentiating edge over others and will enhance the operator's brand image. The operators having access to strong content base, either through their own development arms or through tie up with content providers is one of the important factors in determining their competitive strength. The ability of an operator to build its brand image amongst consumers is a critical parameter in determining the potential success or failure of the operator as this determines its subscriber base and ultimately its ability to generate revenues. The customer acquisition cost, i.e., the cost incurred by an operator on sales and brand building is normally high for all operators and is an expense that is difficult to minimize due to the intense competitive pressure. CARE analyses the ability of an operator to absorb the high customer acquisition costs and also the consumer awareness managed by the operator to generate within its area of operation.

One of the important factors that contribute to an operator's brand image is its network coverage and quality. An operator that offers seamless coverage, lower call drops, high data speeds and better voice quality is preferred by consumers and has a better market image and thus has a competitive edge. CARE Ratings assesses an operator's network quality based on certain Quality of Service (QoS) parameters such as average call completion rate and number of call drops. CARE Ratings also relies on the Quality of Service and Customer Satisfaction Survey reports released by TRAI which gives an indication of where the various players stand in terms of QoS and consumer satisfaction.

Financial Profile

CARE's analysis of the financial profile of an operator considers both historical and projected financial performance. Trends over a 3-5-year period are analysed and compared to the industry average. CARE's financial analysis is focused on the stability and sustainability of earnings and cash flow, which underpins the ability of the operators to repay their debt

obligations. CARE also analyses the future cash flows of the company under various adverse situations to analyse the financial flexibility of the company under various scenarios.

The sector is capital intensive as networks constantly require upgrading to meet technological advancements. A proportion of the capital expenditure is considered non-discretionary and this level can rise or fall depending upon the technological shifts which occur from time to time which necessitates an increase in the capex to be incurred by the operator. The ability of the operators to generate sufficient internal cash flow to meet these capital requirements is considered to be a key factor that influences the credit quality of the operator.

CARE also assesses the financial performance of an operator in terms of its profitability at the EBITDA level. The EBITDA margins of the operators have been under pressure owing to a number of factors and the pressure on the margins is likely to continue for some more time as a result of fall in tariffs, high acquisition costs and slower pace of returns on 4G services.

• **Leverage/Debt Profile**

Leverage is a key factor in analyzing an operator's long-term creditworthiness and solvency given the high capital intensity of the sector. CARE analyses various leverage ratios such as the debt to equity, overall gearing, debt to GCA and debt to EBITDA as part of its overall methodology. CARE also generally excludes intangible assets while calculating the networth, however, in case of mobile service providers, their spectrum holding, which is an intangible asset, is inherent to their ability to offer their services and hence it is not excluded while calculating the networth. The companies in the mobile service providers sector are required to make huge initial outlays during initial rollout and during modernization projects, which have a long gestation period. As a result, the ability of an operator to arrange for long-term debt with suitable maturity assumes paramount importance in determining viability of its operations.

*For more details on Financial ratios please refer to the methodology on '**Financial Ratios- Non financial sector entities**' which is available on www.careratings.com.*

Promoter/Management Evaluation

Mobile Service Providers Sector is a capex intensive sector and needs huge investments to fund any capex plans (modernization and replacement) arising out of demand with change in the technology used and business environment. The sector is highly leveraged and with the service providers making all efforts for customer acquisition and retention through various price competitive offers, the demand for huge investments to upgrade their infrastructure

and to fund acquisition cost remains intact. Thus financial flexibility of the promoters in terms of ability to infuse additional equity as and when required is a critical parameter while analyzing the risk profile of an operator. The financial flexibility of the promoters along with the way in which they propose to fund initial losses and the contingencies arising out of unanticipated delays or longer-than-anticipated gestation period is critically examined by CARE. The management of the company is assessed for its role in developing and implementing long-term strategies that provide the foundation for a sustainable long-term competitive advantage. CARE Ratings believes that the strength of the management team will play a crucial role in determining an operator's medium to long-term competitiveness.

Conclusion

The rating outcome is ultimately an assessment of the fundamentals and the probabilities of change in the fundamentals. CARE Ratings assesses the credit quality of a mobile service provider based on a number of qualitative and quantitative parameters which include the operator's positioning on technology, strategies to maintain and expand market reach, capability and plans to offer higher-margin services and to explore new revenue sources for better generation of returns from their investments.

[Reviewed in November 2020. Next review due in November 2021]

CARE Ratings Limited

4th Floor, Godrej Coliseum, Somaiya Hospital Road, Off Eastern Express Highway, Sion (East), Mumbai - 400 022.
Tel: +91-22-6754 3456, Fax: +91-22- 6754 3457, E-mail: care@careratings.com

Disclaimer

CARE's ratings are opinions on the likelihood of timely payment of the obligations under the rated instrument and are not recommendations to sanction, renew, disburse or recall the concerned bank facilities or to buy, sell or hold any security. CARE's ratings do not convey suitability or price for the investor. CARE's ratings do not constitute an audit on the rated entity. CARE has based its ratings/outlooks on information obtained from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. CARE does not, however, guarantee the accuracy, adequacy or completeness of any information and is not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such information. Most entities whose bank facilities/instruments are rated by CARE have paid a credit rating fee, based on the amount and type of bank facilities/instruments. CARE or its subsidiaries/associates may also have other commercial transactions with the entity. In case of partnership/proprietary concerns, the rating /outlook assigned by CARE is, inter-alia, based on the capital deployed by the partners/proprietor and the financial strength of the firm at present. The rating/outlook may undergo change in case of withdrawal of capital or the unsecured loans brought in by the partners/proprietor in addition to the financial performance and other relevant factors. CARE is not responsible for any errors and states that it has no financial liability whatsoever to the users of CARE's rating. Our ratings do not factor in any rating related trigger clauses as per the terms of the facility/instrument, which may involve acceleration of payments in case of rating downgrades. However, if any such clauses are introduced and if triggered, the ratings may see volatility and sharp downgrades.